

that is easy to understand and permanently available. It is evident that this information is evidence based if possible, usually conventional wisdom and always conscious based searching for optimal medical treatment. Europa Uomo expects and does receive this information, yearly updated, from our scientific committee.

Next to this highway of high quality information we see patient-centered care as our domain where we welcome professional help but want to be involved from the start on any project or progress in patient care be it psychological, social, financial or involving quality of life and/or health economics.

Entering the labyrinth of medical care requires consistent quality aid and specific objective guidance to follow a care path in our social health care system. We claim patient rights but also patient obligations.

The choice of your treating specialist or rather the treating multiprofessional team is a first step to a better outcome of treatment.

The net results of the many uncertainties in the dialogue to a shared decision on primary treatment are stress and anxiety leading to fatigue and psychiatric treatment. Determining the individual disease treatment and the outcomes that matter to each patient are essential to reach the expected health related quality of life (HRQoL). Next to cure or control of the cancer patients worry most of the side-effects of treatment and the impact on their lifestyle as well as on the lifestyle of their partner. We hope to see the publication of outcomes of all procedures and treatments in an updated database which is easier to understand than sophisticated statistics, p-values and confidence intervals.

245

INVITED

The Concept of Prostate Cancer Units

R. Valdagni¹, L. Bellardita¹, C. Parker², C.N. Sternberg³, B. Tombal⁴, L. Denis⁵, A. Costa⁶. ¹Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Prostate Program, Milan, Italy; ²The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Academic Urology Unit, Sutton, United Kingdom; ³San Camillo and Forlanini Hospitals, Department of Medical Oncology, Rome, Italy; ⁴Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Department of Urology, Brussels; ⁵Europa Uomo Europa, Antwerp, Belgium; ⁶European School of Oncology, Milan, Italy

Background: In prostate cancer (PC) multiple treatment/observational options are available. Multidisciplinary (MD), multiprofessional management facilitates high-quality medical procedures, collaboration among dedicated specialists, preventing and managing physical and emotional disease- or treatment-induced complications.

Materials: Following the experience with breast cancer, in 2010 the European School of Oncology promoted the identification of general recommendations as well as mandatory requirements for the set up of PCU in a discussion paper. A PCU should be referred ≥ 100 newly diagnosed PC cases each year. Therapeutic/observational protocols should be carried out under the direction of PCU. Data should be recorded and available for audit once a year. A PCU should have a core team trained in PC who dedicates an agreed time to PCU and attends MD meetings (MDM): PCU Clinical Director, ≥ 1 uro-pathologists, ≥ 2 urologists, ≥ 2 radiation oncologists, ≥ 1 medical oncologists, 1 nurse specialist in PC, ≥ 1 data managers, 1 professional responsible for the compilation of patient data. The PCU should have access to associated services and non-core personnel: ≥ 1 radiologists, ≥ 1 medical physicists, ≥ 2 radiation therapy technologists, ≥ 1 physiotherapists, ≥ 1 palliative care specialists, 1 clinical psychologist, 1 sexologist/andrologist, 1 geriatrician, ≥ 1 clinical trial coordinators, patient advocates.

One urologist, one radiation oncologists and one medical oncologist (if possible and whenever indicated, a psychologist) should participate synchronously or in rapid succession in a weekly MD clinic. Advanced, recurrent or metastatic PC patients (pts) should be offered clinic every 2 weeks. Follow up should be supervised by PCU core members. All options should be offered and the pt's right to information and self-determination ensured.

In weekly MDM min 90% PC cases should be discussed and decisions documented in charts.

PCU should possess or have access to all the technological equipment for imaging, radiotherapy, pathology.

Conclusions: The set up of PCU requires to reorganize services, workflow and attitudes but it should have a favorable economic impact and avoid multiple consultations and inappropriate treatments. PCU certification should be considered the necessary step forward to ensure optimal treatment and care. The aim of this symposium is to start discussing about the set up of PCU in Europe.

References

- Valdagni et al *Eur J Cancer* 47, 2011
Gomella et al *JOP* 6, 2010
Bellardita et al *JOP* 7, 2011

Monday 26 September 2011

Scientific Symposium (Mon, 26 Sep, 09:00–11:00)

How to Understand and to Reverse Drug Resistance in Metastatic Breast Cancer

246

INVITED

Functional Genomic Approaches to the Dissection of Cancer Drug Resistance Mechanisms

C. Swanton¹. ¹CR-UK London Research Institute, Translational Cancer Therapeutics Laboratory, London, United Kingdom

Drug resistance contributes to early treatment failure and deteriorating quality of life for patients with cancer. Deriving gene expression based predictors of drug sensitivity from microarray data is an associative learning process that is inherently vulnerable to the over-fitting of data. Such statistical considerations may require new approaches to the discovery of predictive biomarkers of drug response. Functional genomics screening approaches using RNA interference technologies have begun to dissect drug sensitivity pathways, revealing molecular mechanisms that may influence response to endocrine, cytotoxic and targeted therapeutic approaches in the clinical setting. Results from such functional genomics-driven biomarker discovery strategies and potential caveats in the search for novel predictive biomarkers will be discussed.

247

INVITED

PARP Inhibitors Sensitivity and Resistance

T. Helleday¹. ¹University of Oxford, Radiation Oncology and Biology, PRI Churchill Hospital Headington, Oxford, United Kingdom

Inherited mutations in either the *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* genes provide an increased risk for breast cancer. Cancers defective in either of the *BRCA* genes also have a defect in homologous recombination (HR), which will increase the genetic instability in the cancers and drive disease progression. Previously, we and others identified that *BRCA* mutated tumours are highly sensitive to inhibitors of the DNA repair enzyme Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP). Interestingly, PARP inhibitors are only toxic in the *BRCA* mutated cells and hence there is a synthetic lethal relation between PARP and *BRCA*. The underlying mechanism for the PARP-*BRCA* synthetic lethality was initially attributed to accumulation of DNA strand breaks after PARP inhibition that required *BRCA*-mediated HR for repair. Here, I will provide evidence that this simple explanation is incomplete and that other complex underlying mechanism are also relevant. Importantly, some *BRCA* mutated cancers fail to respond to PARP inhibitors in clinical trials and many responding *BRCA* cancers eventually develop resistance to PARP inhibitors. Here, different mechanisms for PARP inhibitor resistance are discussed. Furthermore, novel strategies to overcome PARP inhibitor resistance are presented.

248

INVITED

How to Reverse the Resistance to Trastuzumab

F. Andre¹, M. Campone². ¹Institut Gustave Roussy, Department of Medical Oncology, Villejuif; ²Centre Gauducheau, Department of Medical Oncology, Nantes, France

Several preclinical studies have suggested that activation of mTOR pathway, through PTEN loss and PIK3CA mutations, could mediate resistance to trastuzumab. Based on this background, a clinical research program has been launched to address the hypothesis that mTOR inhibitors could reverse resistance to trastuzumab.

Phase I trials have allowed detecting first signals for efficacy and finding the doses for further studies. In the phase I trials combining paclitaxel, trastuzumab and everolimus (mTORC1 inhibitor), 44% of the patients have presented an objective response. This phase I study proposed a 10 mg daily schedule for further development. In the phase I trial combining vinorelbine, trastuzumab and everolimus, the response rate was 19% and the 5 mg daily dosage for everolimus was selected for further studies. Two phase II trials have been done, that confirmed that mTOR inhibitors reverse resistance to trastuzumab. In the phase II trial developed by MD Anderson, patients were selected to present a resistance to trastuzumab, and were treated with trastuzumab and mTOR inhibitors, without any cytotoxic agents. In this study, the response rate was 13%. Based on the results of these phase I/II trials, two randomized trials have been started. In addition to clinical development, several teams are developing biomarker programs in order to better identify which patients should be proposed a mTOR inhibitor.

Overall, based on preclinical observation that mTOR is involved in the resistance of trastuzumab, clinical programs have been developed that will determine whether everolimus could improve outcome in patients with Her2-overexpressing breast cancers.

249 INVITED
mTOR an Attractive Drug Target in Breast Cancer: How to Reverse Resistance to mTOR Inhibitors

Abstract not received

Scientific Symposium (Mon, 26 Sep, 09:00–11:00)
Tailored Neoadjuvant Therapy in Rectal Cancer

250 INVITED
Tailored Preoperative Treatment According to Initial Staging and Biology Predictors

K. Haustermans¹. ¹Department of Radiation Oncology Leuven Cancer Institute University Hospital, Leuven, Belgium

Recent developments in imaging techniques allow us to more adequately stage our patients prior to the start of treatment. With endoscopic ultrasound it is possible to distinguish early stage tumours from more advanced tumours. Patients with T1sm1/sm2 without adverse prognostic factors (differentiation grade, lymphovascular invasion) can be treated with TEM (Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery). MRI is a prerequisite for accurate staging of more advanced rectal cancers. Phased array MRI is very reliable in predicting CRM (Circumferential Resection Margin) involvement. Also extramural depth of spread and the presence of vascular invasion can be assessed on phased array MRI and are important factors in determining treatment strategy. Diffusion Weighted-MRI is very promising in rectal cancer, not only as a staging tool (prediction of lymph node involvement) but also as a way to predict the prognosis of patients. The ADC (Apparent Diffusion Coefficient) values before the start of treatment seem to be a prognostic marker with tumours having a low ADC value at the start of treatment doing better. A low ADC value before the start of treatment might be indicative of a better oxygenation status of the primary tumour. Oxygenation status has been shown to be an important prognostic and predictive factor in many tumour sites. However, these findings need validation in larger, preferentially multicenter studies. Also FDG-PET might have a prognostic value with higher SUV's (Standardized Uptake Value) being correlated with worse prognosis. Other tracers still need further study. Depending on the staging patients can be classified as having good, bad and ugly tumours. Also the location of the primary tumours (low, middle, high) plays a role in the decision on the most appropriate treatment approach. The preoperative treatment should be adapted accordingly varying from a short course radiation to a long course of radiation combined with chemotherapy. Several attempts have been made to integrate targeted agents into the preoperative treatment. So far, none of these have proven to be successful. This can partly be explained by the absence of molecular selection criteria for patients that are most likely to benefit. In the face of current and future schedules and the increasing number of therapeutic options, translational research is urgently needed for the identification of patients, by both clinicopathological features and molecular markers who will gain maximum benefit from more intensified treatment.

251 INVITED
Tailored Therapy During Neoadjuvant Treatment

V. Valentini¹. ¹Pol. Univ. "A. Gemelli", Cattedra Radioterapia – Istituto di Radiologia, Rome, Italy

CRT has been reported to induce significant tumour downsizing and downstaging, with a pathologic complete response (pCR) after CRT observed in 10 to 30% of patients. Although some studies showed no correlation, a recent pooled analysis of radio(chemo)therapy randomized confirmed that patients showing a pCR following preoperative CRT have improved long-term outcomes including excellent local control rates and disease-free survival, regardless of their initial clinical T- and N-stages. Molecular imaging along the treatment seems to be predictive of outcomes in some studies. The evaluation of the SUV(max)-based RI calculated after the first 2 weeks of RCT provided in 30 rectal cancer patients the best predictor of pathological treatment response, reaching AUCs of 0.87 and 0.84 for the TRG and the ypT stage, respectively. Studies on radiobiological parameters like number of tumour stem cells, intrinsic radiosensitivity, and number of radiobiologically hypoxic tumour cells appear when analyzed in animals after two week of therapy seem promising to predict outcome after fractionated irradiation.

Possible implication of the evaluation of the response along the treatment in the reduction or intensification of the ongoing therapies are reported.

252 INVITED
Tailored Surgery According to Clinical Response

H. Rutten¹. ¹Catharina Hospital, Surgery, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

The surgical treatment of rectal cancer is based on the removal of the rectum enveloped in its mesorectal fascia. In case of successful surgery the specimen will be covered with this shining fascia and it is easy for the pathologist to determine if the resection was radical and if the tumour was removed with sufficient margins. If quality of surgery is poor as a result of breaching the mesorectal fascia and/or tearing the mesorectal fat, chance of exposing the tumour to the resection margin is high, and subsequent risk for local recurrence is also high. Another reason for a high chance of local recurrence or even irresectability is when the tumour invades or perforates the mesorectal fascia (T4).

It is obvious, that the surgeon should plan or, if you like, tailor his resection according to the extension of the tumour. Therefore preoperative imaging should delineate the tumour borders from the other soft tissues of the pelvis with a high resolution. Only two techniques can do so: for very early rectal cancer endoluminal ultrasound can be used, but for most tumours a high resolution MRI is mandatory. In all cases where a local excision is an option endoluminal ultrasound should be complemented by an MRI, as suspicion for lymphnode metastases can not be evaluated with ultrasound. (Further workup should include assessment of distant metastatic disease, preferably with CT scan of thorax and abdomen: the presence of mets can influence the surgical treatment plan).

Surgery is always part of a multidisciplinary treatment plan. Even if no neoadjuvant treatment is necessary, all patients should be discussed before commencement of any treatment in a multidisciplinary panel. Modern neoadjuvant treatment is effective in reducing the chance of local recurrence, even after radical resections. In resectable tumours, even when the margin is not involved a short course of radiotherapy will reduce the chance of local recurrence. However, some patients will not benefit from short course of radiotherapy (early T stage and stage 2 patients) and even experience a worse outcome. On the other hand neoadjuvant long course of treatment may be necessary to downsize and downstage an advanced tumour. After this, restaging may demonstrate, that threatened margins no longer are threatened and a standard TME approach has become possible, or that in T4 cases the extent of involvement of surrounding tissues has become less and a more limited extended procedure is possible. Again, MRI plays an important role. In selected cases, downstaging and downsizing will permit an organ preservation local excision.

Concluding: Definitive surgical treatment is the result of a multidisciplinary team discussion. This discussion will take into account operative risks of the patients due to age and comorbidity, extent and possible response to neoadjuvant treatment, need for more extended than TME resection, or quite the opposite chance of organpreservation.

253 INVITED
Tailored Adjuvant Chemotherapy According to Pathological Response (?)

W. Scheithauer¹. ¹University of Vienna, Department of Internal Medicine I, Vienna, Austria

As opposed to colon cancer the available data from randomised trials for rectal cancer investigating the value of adjuvant chemotherapy in addition to preoperative radio(chemotherapy; RCT) and surgery are limited. Its therapeutic value in patients with a pathological complete response (pCR) after RCT is an even more controversial issue: A recently published pooled analysis of 3105 individual patient data having undergone RCT and total mesorectal excision in 14 different studies revealed a 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) of 83.3% for patients with pCR (n=410) and 65.6% for those without pCR (n=2265; HR=0.44; p=0.0001). The corresponding 5-year overall survival (OS) rates were 87.6% vs. 76.4% (unadjusted HR=0.44; p<0.0001). A multivariate analysis confirmed other independent risk factors associated with recurrence or death, including pT4, positive lymph nodes and type of surgery; the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy did not have a favourable effect on DFS: In the subgroup of patients with pCR the HR for adjuvant chemotherapy was 0.88 (95% CI 0.39–2.02). Apart from the wide confidence interval for this finding, which precludes definitive conclusions about the benefits of adjuvant treatment, it should be kept in mind that 1) most of the trials included in this analysis were non-randomised and/or retrospective studies, 2) there were differences in tumour stage, 3) different RCT regimens were used, 4) pCR assessment might not have been uniform in all studies, and 5) not all patients, predominantly those with pCR (only 39%) received adjuvant chemotherapy. Furthermore, since significantly more patients with